

JOHN A. RUSSO, City Attorney - State Bar #129729
RANDOLPH W. HALL, Chief Assistant City Attorney - State Bar #080142
RACHEL WAGNER, Supervising Trial Attorney - State Bar #127246
CHRISTOPHER KEE, Deputy City Attorney - State Bar #157758
One Frank H. Ogawa Plaza, 6th Floor
Oakland, California 94612
Telephone: (510) 637-0268 Fax: (510) 238-6500
22115/338094

Attorneys for Defendants
CITY OF OAKLAND, et al.

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

CYNTHIA M. MATHIS,

Plaintiff,

v.

CITY OF OAKLAND, et al.,

Defendants.

Case No. C-02-02007 MEJ

**DEFENDANTS' BILL OF COSTS;
CERTIFICATE OF COUNSEL**

[FRCP 54(D), Civil Local Rule 54-1(a)]

Defendants and prevailing parties CITY OF OAKLAND, OAKLAND POLICE
DEPARTMENT, TORREY NASH, R. GUTIERREZ, C. INGEBRIGTSEN, M. SIVILA, P.
DAVIS, M. YOELL, G. FOPPIANO, hereby submit the defendants' Bill of Costs in this
matter, pursuant to Rule 54(d)(1) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and to Civil Local
Rule 54-1(a), as follows:

A. Depositions

Pizzotti & Jarnigan (Cynthia Mathis) \$ 634.40

Subtotal: \$ 634.40

B. Reproductions

Discovery Production (Legal Beagle) \$ 44.50

1			
2	Discovery Production (Legal Beagle)		61.12
3	Discovery Production (Legal Beagle)		46.52
4	Discovery Production (Legal Beagle)		79.36
5	Discovery Production (Legal Beagle)		92.62
6	Discovery Production (Legal Beagle)		59.87
7	Discovery Production (Legal Beagle)		13.80
8	Discovery Production (Legal Beagle)		60.95
9	Discovery Production (Legal Beagle)		94.24
10	Discovery Production (Legal Beagle)		49.87
11	Copy of Mathis v. Wanzo Trial Transcript		659.00
12			
13		Subtotal:	\$ 1261.65
14			
15	TOTAL COSTS		\$ 1896.05
16	Dated: February 25, 2005		
17		Respectfully submitted,	
18		JOHN A. RUSSO, City Attorney	
19		RANDOLPH W. HALL, Assistant City Attorney	
20		STEPHEN Q. ROWELL, Deputy City Attorney	
21			
22		By: _____/s/	
23		Attorneys for Defendants	
24		CITY OF OAKLAND, et al.	
25			
26			

CERTIFICATE OF COUNSEL
[28 USC Section 1924]

The undersigned hereby certifies, under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States and of the State of California, that the costs claimed above:

- (a) are allowed by law,
- (b) are correctly stated,
- (c) were necessarily incurred in the case,
- (d) the services for which fees were charged were actually and necessarily performed, and

Executed this 25th day of February 2005, at Oakland, California.

_____/s/_____
STEPHEN Q. ROWELL